

## Communication from Public

**Name:** LA City Resident  
**Date Submitted:** 09/28/2022 11:18 PM  
**Council File No:** 20-0291

**Comments for Public Posting:** Can the eviction moratorium expire without the vote of LA City council? I thought they were granted **\*\*temporary\*\*** powers due to pandemic. Now that pandemic is over (I know that Covid will last forever but pandemic is clearly over), shouldn't the temporary powers to enact the moratorium be removed from city council? Why should we be making major concessions to Nithya Raman and crew and give them all these permanent changes to landlord tenant law because of the pandemic? Tenants already have a tremendous amount of long term protections (see LA RSO). If any long term protections should be extracted from the post moratorium period they should be in favor of the property owners that helped all the tenants stay afloat during the pandemic (especially since large % of tenants that took advantage of moratorium benefits were only effected by Covid for a small portion of the time they benefited from the moratoriums protections -- if at all). Harvard educated Nithya Raman sent out a boastful e-mail to stakeholders bragging about how homelessness #'s were stagnant during pandemic (despite fact that she begged for funds during the pandemic because she said homelessness was increasing -- and LAHSA homeless count #'s have been questioned <https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2022-09-24/doubts-raised-over-the-los-angeles-homeless-count-is-it-time-for-a-new-way>). In her e-mail Raman essentially wants to draw an association btw moratorium and flattening of the curve of homeless and evictions as a reason all of the protections should be made permanent (If you made killing people legal murders would drop too btw). Raman, as usual, cherry picks stats. How have rents fared during the pandemic? They've skyrocketed. The liability and financial risk of renting in the city of LA has become so perilous that property owners don't want to participate unless they are highly compensated. Why can't anyone get this through to Nithya Raman? If high rents equate to more homeless Ramans crusade against property owners have made things way worse in a really short period of time for tenants. RSO needs to be completely overhauled, not removed, tenants do need protections against unscrupulous property owners but there has to be a modicum of common sense re-introduced to the landlord tenant laws in the city of LA. How is it even legal for someone with such a 1x sided agenda to be on the Housing committee? In her short term quest to be a hero, like Trump appointing 3x supreme court justices, Nithya Ramans legacy on the housing committee will be to create many long term unforeseen negative effects for tenants. If things continue in the path we are headed, majority of rental housing will be dangerous crime filled public housing projects or extremely expensive higher end luxury housing owned by private equity funds and all the people supporting Raman now will be complaining that there is no place for the middle class in LA

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Maria

**Date Submitted:** 09/27/2022 10:28 AM

**Council File No:** 20-0291

**Comments for Public Posting:** We own a fourplex in West Los Angeles for 25 years but over the past 2 years have suffered tremendous stress and losses due to the tenants taking advantage of such Covid 19 moratoriums from Los Angeles City even if they are not sick at all or have valid reason to claim this. One tenant destroyed our apartment with \$10,000 of damages yet we struggled like crazy to remove him because he had “protections”. A second tenant refused to work because he did not want to earn \$15/hr or work for other people. He said It is wonderful that the government pays my rent. He is still in our building and even though we tried eviction because he is violent and doing illegal things we could not remove him, he plays golf all day in the back yard of our property and told us he previously confirmed he has no Covid 19 issues whatsoever affecting him yet when the extension came up in July 2022 for LA county all he had to do was just write in a little sheet of paper saying oh it does affect me and that is all it took for him not to pay for months and months of back rent???. All the rent he owes is probably never going to be paid to us ! In the meantime with so many tenants doing this, we owe the mortgage company \$60,000 that we have to pay one way or the other? Why is that fair? Why are all the laws FOR THE TENANTS? We are in jeopardy to loose our home and our kids will be homeless too? Is that what the city ultimately wants after we helped the community for 25 years renting at low cost to so many tenants? Thank you, no more comments!

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Fuck Mike Bonin

**Date Submitted:** 09/28/2022 09:47 AM

**Council File No:** 20-0291

**Comments for Public Posting:** The only thing Vladimir Putin understands is force. The only thing Mike Bonin and the city council understand are lawsuits. You will be held accountable for your Unconstitutional Eviction Moratorium. You are on the wrong side of the law. It's only a matter of time. The mayor and the city council are pathetic.